

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/530,937	05/05/2000	ALEKSANDR FYEDOROVICH LUKIN	VISP-2	6347
75	590 11/20/2002			
J HAROLD NISSEN LACHENBACK SIEGEL MARZULLO ARONSON & GREENSPAN ONE CHASE ROAD			EXAMINER	
			ENG, GEORGE	
PENTHOUSE SUITE SCARSDALE, NY 10583			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
56.M62.122, 111 16666			2643	
			DATE MAILED: 11/20/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



			(-
	Application No.	pplicant(s)	1
Office Action Summary	09/530,937	LUKIN, ALEKSANDR FYEDOROVICH	
Since Notion Cummary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	George Eng	2643	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sneet with the	correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MANLING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be working the statutory minimum of thirty (30) downwill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDON	timely filed ays will be considered timely. m the mailing date of this communication. IED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 04 N	November 2002 .		
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ Th	is action is non-final.		
Since this application is in condition for allowed closed in accordance with the practice under Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) $\underline{1-12}$ is/are pending in the application	1.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	wn from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-12</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine			
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acception	•		
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	•	• •	
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on If approved, corrected drawings are required in rep		Toved by the Examiner.	
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	•		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	arrinici.		
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	nriority under 35 U.S.C. & 110	(a) (d) or (f)	
a) All b) Some * c) None of:	i priority under 35 0.5.6. § 119	(a)-(u) or (i).	
1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received		
2. Certified copies of the priority documents		ation No	
Copies of the certified copies of the prior			
application from the International Bu * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	G	
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti	c priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	(e) (to a provisional application	٦).
 a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language pro 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest 			
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informa	ary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Il Patent Application (PTO-152)	
6. Patent and Trademark Office			

Art Unit: 2643

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 8/27/2002 (paper no. 27) has been entered.

Response to Amendment

2. This Office action is in response to amendment filed 8/27/2002 (paper no. 11).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 4. Claims 1-4 and 9-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Art Unit: 2643

Regarding claim 1, the term "the system" in line 3 renders the claim vague and indefinite because it is unclear whether "the system" is referring to a telephone network or other system.

Claims 2-4 are also rejected because of depending on claim 1 containing the same deficiency.

Regarding claim 9, the term "the system" in line 3 renders the claim vague and indefinite because it is unclear whether "the system" is referring to a telephone network or other system.

Claims 10-12 are also rejected because of depending on claim 1 containing the same deficiency.

Claim Objections

5. Claim objected to because of the following informalities: claim 1, line 13, "local network" should be --local computer network--. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. Claim 1-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Frantz (US PAT. 6,167,043) in view of the prior art admitted by applicant (RU PAT. 2,105,425 hereinafter Skigin et al.).

Art Unit: 2643

Regarding claim 1, Frantz discloses a telephone network for a structured site as shown in figure 1 comprising a local computer network connecting computer at the transmitting and receiving ends and connecting telephone sets to provide telephone communication between the parties at the transmitting and receiving ends through the local computer network (col. 3 lines 1-6), wherein it is provided with a computer telephony server (10) connected to the local network and to a general telephone network (6), wherein each telephone set is provided with an interface (14 or 20), each telephone set interface being connected directly to the local computer network and being able to convert analog and digital signals, user call signals into addresses of other interface and hang up signals (col. 5 line 21 through col. 7 line 60). Although Frantz does not disclose the local computer network connecting computers, Frantz teaches the telephone network in arrangement to residential and small office environment (col. 1 lines 51-54 and col. 3 line 51-55). Thus, it recognizes that the local computer network is obviously capable of connecting computers. Frantz differs from the claimed invention in not specifically teaching to convert analog signal to and from digital signal adapted to the clock frequency of the local computer network. However, it is notoriously well known in the art of an interface designed as an analogdigital signal converter adapted to the clock frequency of the local network in order to allow the same channels to be used to transmit computer data and to maintain voice communication, for example see Skigin (specification, page 8 liens 5-11). Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Frantz in having the interface in converting analog-digital signals adapted to the clock frequency of the local network, as per teaching of Skigin, because it makes the communication process simpler and cutting its costs.

Art Unit: 2643

Regarding claim 2, Frantz teaches computer (13) connected to the telephone network (6) being provided with multimedia software, i.e., application, to allow direct voice telephone communication (col. 3 lines 26-30 and col. 5 lines 1-6).

Regarding claim 3, Frantz discloses the telephone interface to allow exchange of digital data to be effected within the framework of common network protocol (col. 3 lines 3-25). Frantz differs from the claimed invention in not specifically teaching a particular combination of elements including a signal-distributor, a tone dialing recognition device, a recognized number transmission device, a compressor, a voice and tone signal transmission priority device, a voice signal transmitter, and a decompressor in the telephone adapter. However, Skigin discloses the telephone adapter comprising a transmitting and receiving ends, wherein the transmitting ends has a signal detector-distributor with an input connected to a telephone set, a first output of said signal detector-distributor being connected to the input of a tone dialing recognition device having its output connected to the input of a recognized number transmission device, which has its output connected to the local computer network, a second output of the signal detectordistributor being connected to the input of an analog-to-digital converter having its output connected the input of a compressor whose output is connected to a processor unit and the reception channel having a voice and tone signal transmission priority device having its output connected to the telephone set and a first input connected to the output of a call signal dialer, whose input is connected to a call number data converter having its input connected to the local computer network through the network adapter, a second input of the voice and tone signal transmission priority device being connected to the output of a voice signal transmitter, whose input is connected to the output of a decompressor having its input connected to the processor

Art Unit: 2643

unit (specification, page 3 line 30 through page 4 line 22). Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Frantz in having the telephone adapter with a structure as taught by Skigin because it provides new opportunities for signal transmission and reception using general-purpose equipment.

Regarding claim 4, Frantz discloses that the processor unit (13) obviously comprising a central processor connected to digital data input-output device, and to a stored program memory and a random access memory to allow exchange of digital data to be effected within the framework of common network protocol (col. 5 lines 18-20 and lines 37-43).

Regarding claim 5, the limitations of the claim are rejected as the same reasons as set forth in claim 1. In addition, Frantz also discloses to maintain telephone communication between remote structure sites with the structure site (22), i.e., a first site, such that the local computer network of each remote site is being provided with a router (3) connected thereto and to a router of the local computer network of at least one other site through communication channel of the computer networks of the remote structure sites (col. 6 lines 3-8).

Regarding claim 6, the limitations of the claim are rejected as the same reasons as set forth in claim 2.

Regarding claim 7, the limitations of the claim are rejected as the same reasons as set forth in claim 3.

Regarding claim 8, the limitations of the claim are rejected as the same reasons as set forth in claim 4.

Regarding claim 9, the limitations of the claim are rejected as the same reasons set forth in claim 1.

Art Unit: 2643

Regarding claim 10, the limitations of the claim are rejected as the same reasons set forth

Page 7

in claim 3.

Regarding claim 11, the limitations of the claim are rejected as the same reasons set forth

in claim 4.

Regarding claim 12, the limitations of the claim are rejected as the same reasons set forth

in claim 2.

Response to Arguments

8. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-12 have been considered but are moot in

view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

9. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's

disclosure. Kikinis (US PAT. 6,339,593) discloses a network telephony interface system between

data network and plain old telephone service including computer telephony integration

enhancement (abstract). Stovall (US PAT. 6,144,724) discloses a communication interface to

data networks comprising each telephone set being provided with an interface (figure 1). Foley

(US PAT. 6,069,899) discloses a home area network system comprising a local computer

network connecting computers and telephone (abstract and figure 3).

10. Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington, D.C. 20231

1

Art Unit: 2643

Or faxed to:

(703) 872-9314 (for Technology Center 2600 only)

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal

Drive, Arlington, VA, Sixth Floor (Receptionist).

11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to George Eng whose telephone number is (703) 308-9555. The

examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday to Friday from 7 AM to 5:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Mr. Curtis Kuntz, can be reached on (703) 305-4708.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding

should be directed to the Technology Center 2600 Customer Service Office whose telephone

number is (703) 306-0377.

GEORGE ENG

November 15, 2002

Page 8